

Is D&O ready for the DAO?


Rethinking corporate personality and responsibility

Timothy Chan

Senior Associate & InsurTech Lead (Australia)

Norton Rose Fulbright

30 August 2023




Agenda

- **What is blockchain?**
- **What is a DAO?**
- **Liability of token holders**
- **Regulatory framework**
- **D&O Insurance?**

History

- Invented by 'Satoshi Nakamoto', who authored the bitcoin white paper and created the first blockchain database.
- Whitepaper was published in 2008
- Bitcoin network came into existence in 2009
- Ethereum came into existence in 2013, created by programmer Vitalik Buterin



Cryptocurrency today...

- Trillion dollar market




Today's Cryptocurrency Prices by Market Cap

The global crypto market cap is \$1.05T, a ▼ 0.39% decrease over the last day. [Read More](#)



Trending

[More >](#)

- | | | | |
|---|--|------|---------|
| 1 |  Pepe | PEPE | ▼ 6.66% |
| 2 |  Not Financial Advice | NFAI | ▲ 5.24% |
| 3 |  Bitcoin | BTC | ▼ 0.45% |



Top Community Article

[More >](#)




Trust Wallet

How to Exchange Tokens Using the Trust Wallet Chrome Extension


Jul 25 •  7K •  0  TWT



Blockchain technology




Decentralized Ledger



CBINSIGHTS

DAOs – Smart Contracts

- DAOs are an emerging means of capital coordination
- However most jurisdictions do not recognise DAOs as separate legal entities
- Without corporate personhood, what is the liability of the participants?




What is a DAO?

- ‘**Decentralised**’ = without a centralised ‘management team’, digital assets (namely governance tokens) represent member voting rights
- ‘**Autonomous**’ = automatically execute in unambiguous way on blockchain
- ‘**Organisation**’ = allow communities / groups of people to vote, organise, pool and deploy resources



Rise of the DAO



The “DAO” Experiment



Illustrative Use Cases

- **Investments:** ConstitutionDAO (US\$41M raise)
- **Protocol governance:** UniSwap DAO (US\$489B+ trade volume, 13k lifetime voters)
- **Social clubs:** FWB DAO (US\$10M raise by a16z)
- **Charity:** Ukraine DAO (US\$6M raise)
- **Education:** EduDAO (\$33M initiative) partners with Harvard, Berkley, MIT
- **Metaverse:** Decentral Games DAO governs world's first decentralised metaverse
- **Accelerators:** BitDAO launches US\$500M blockchain gaming accelerator

But DAOs operate in a legal black hole.

Most jurisdictions do not recognise DAOs as having a distinct legal personality.

This opens up Pandora's box – what liability could attach?



**If the legal system is to recognise
DAOs as having separate legal
personality, where do we look?**

Two key features:


- Governance**
- Legal nexus**

But the current structures and corresponding duties are not fit for DAO purposes. What now?

Option	Legal structure	Appropriate duties?
1	General partnership	Unlimited liability
2	DAO-recognised limited liability company	No fiduciary duties
3	Wrapped DAO	Temporary 'bandaid' solution

Future outlook:
Will policymakers meet in the middle
– hybrid of options 2 and 3?

Directors' duties



Fiduciary duties: common law

- Act with care and diligence
- Act with good faith in best interests of company
- Exercise powers for proper purpose
- Avoid conflicts of interests with company

Why is tailored liability necessary for DAO members?

- Agency problem
- Low token holder participation rates
- Anonymity
- Code literacy
- Imagine members of a DAO vote for an action that results in the DAO acting illegally



Proposals for tailored DAO liability regimes

Duty	Application to DAOs
<i>Act in good faith and in the best interests of the company, exercise powers for proper purpose</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Replace 'company' with 'DAO token holders'• Apply vote by vote and/or only to active members
<i>Avoid conflicts of interest and corporate opportunity doctrine</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Waive 'no conflict' rule and corporate opportunity doctrine in its entirety? Participation in multiple DAOs

Potential role of D&O Insurance

- Liability, investigations, defence costs
- Side A, B, C – relevance of side B & C?
- Capacity as an officer / token-holder
- Outside “directorships”

Alternate routes for liability: Lessons from bZx class action 2023

- On 5 November 2021, DAO-governed bZx protocol is hacked (US\$55M)
- Plaintiffs bring negligence claim and allege bZx protocol and ‘partners’ owe duty to maintain funds’ security
- On 27 March 2023, US District Court holds that a negligence claim can be brought against a DAO, as a general partnership, and token holders with governance rights form that partnership
- Example of “regulation through enforcement”
- Reminder that anyone involved in the DAO may be a target if things go awry



Is the future beyond DAObt?

- Regulation is coming – is it a good thing?
- Australia's Senate Select Committee on Australia as a Technology and Financial Centre recommended legal recognition of a DAO company structure
 - Australian Law Reform Commission findings due November 2023
- UK Law Commission's scoping exercise of DAOs still underway
- Obstacles remain in terms of liability that could limit DAOs' mainstream adoption



Questions



twitter.com/timinsydney



theinsurtechlawyer.com



Law around the world

nortonrosefulbright.com

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright LLP, Norton Rose Fulbright Australia, Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP and Norton Rose Fulbright South Africa Inc are separate legal entities and all of them are members of Norton Rose Fulbright Verein, a Swiss verein. Norton Rose Fulbright Verein helps coordinate the activities of the members but does not itself provide legal services to clients.

References to 'Norton Rose Fulbright', 'the law firm' and 'legal practice' are to one or more of the Norton Rose Fulbright members or to one of their respective affiliates (together 'Norton Rose Fulbright entity/entities'). No individual who is a member, partner, shareholder, director, employee or consultant of, in or to any Norton Rose Fulbright entity (whether or not such individual is described as a 'partner') accepts or assumes responsibility, or has any liability, to any person in respect of this communication. Any reference to a partner or director is to a member, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications of the relevant Norton Rose Fulbright entity.

The purpose of this communication is to provide general information of a legal nature. It does not contain a full analysis of the law nor does it constitute an opinion of any Norton Rose Fulbright entity on the points of law discussed. You must take specific legal advice on any particular matter which concerns you. If you require any advice or further information, please speak to your usual contact at Norton Rose Fulbright.